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This special issue of the Journal of Cognitive Science (JCS) is on the topic of Computational, Cognitive, and Linguistic Approaches to the Analysis of Compounds and Collocations.

The papers contained in this special issue share as a common theme the semantic relations that hold between the constituent members of compounds and collocations. The contributions by Bell and by Sorokin et al. focus on the semantics of compounds. Taking Fanselow’s distinction between basic and stereotypical relations (Fanselow, 1981) as a starting point, Bell investigates to what extent this distinction can be predicted in a logistic regression model by the degree of productivity of the head and modifier constituent of a compound, by the degree to which a head or modifier denotes a concrete versus an abstract entity, and by the degree of lexical ambiguity of a head or a modifier. Her findings suggest that the type of compound-internal semantic relation cannot be predicted by the semantics of the modifier and head constituents alone, but also involves distributional properties of these constituents. Moreover, the semantic relations as such seem to involve more general ontological categories such as material and location and, thus, seem to generalize across the semantics of individual lexical items.

Bell takes Fanselow’s binary distinction of basic and stereotypical relations as a starting point for classifying compound-internal relations.
By contrast, Sorokin et al. propose a hybrid annotation scheme that characterizes these compound-internal semantic relations by prepositional paraphrases and semantic properties. The authors motivate this hybrid approach by looking at compounds from a multilingual perspective. They observe that while prepositions are essential for translating compounds e.g. from Germanic to Romance languages, they are often too coarse-grained and ambiguous for the task. Thus, the motivation for including semantic properties in addition to the prepositions is to further enhance the annotation scheme, since semantic properties offer a more fine-grained semantic resolution compared to prepositions. Further support for the proposed hybrid annotation scheme is offered by a series of machine learning experiments which show that the automatic classification in the multi-label setup clearly outperforms the single-label classification (i.e. predicting the correct preposition or the correct semantic property in isolation).

While compound-internal semantic relations have been extensively studied in theoretical linguistics, computational linguistics, and cognitive psychology, semantic relations of collocations have by comparison received considerably less attention. In their contribution to this special issue, Lothar Lemnitzer and Alexander Geyken discuss the encoding and the semantic grouping of collocations in a semasiological German dictionary (Klein and Geyken, 2010; Geyken, 2013) and address two related research questions: Can the collocates of a given headword be grouped into cohesive lexical-semantic classes? Do semantically related headwords share a significant number of collocates and, if so, does the sharing of collocates extend to hyponyms of the headwords under consideration? In order to answer these questions, Lemnitzer and Geyken make use of a word profile generator (Geyken et al., 2009; Didakowski and Geyken, 2013) that automatically extracts for a given headword a list of statistically relevant word co-occurrences. Lemnitzer and Geyken show that Lexical Functions (in the sense of Mel’čuk’s Meaning Text Theory (Mel’čuk, 1995; Mel’čuk 2012)) can then be used for systematically grouping the collocations obtained by the word profile generator.

In order to answer their second research question, i.e., do semantically related headwords have a significant number of collocates in common, Lemnitzer and Geyken make use of the German wordnet GermaNet (Hamp
and Feldweg, 1997; Henrich and Hinrichs, 2010) in order to be able to reliably identify co-hyponyms and hyponyms of a given headword. They show empirically that the intersection of collocates identified by the word profile generator for such sets of headwords is indeed non-empty and contains a significant number of shared collocates that can be grouped by Mel’čuk’s Lexical Functions.

While the three contributions described above focus on compounds and collocations only, the study by Osenova and Simov consider the syntax and semantics of multi-word expressions more generally. Their goal is to link multi-word lexicon entries with attested corpus instances. They use a Bulgarian valency lexicon (Osenova et al., 2012) and a syntactically annotated Bulgarian treebank (Simov et al., 2004) for modeling this interaction. They invoke the notion of catenae (O’Grady, 1998; Gross, 2010) to identify the pieces of dependency structure in a syntactic tree as well as the corresponding information present in the valency lexicon. The modeling potential of catenae is exemplified for a range of syntactic and semantic phenomena in Bulgarian. These examples show that the notion of catenae is able to cope with different kinds of multi-word expressions, including idioms, compounds, and light-verb constructions. Moreover, the encoding scheme is able to distinguish between literal and non-compositional interpretation of idioms and to account for the range of potential syntactic modifiers of an idioms. Osenova and Simov apply their annotation scheme to Bulgarian. However, they argue that their approach is language independent and could also be applied to other languages.
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